

From: [OSEI CORP](#)
To: michael.baccigalopi@glo.texas.gov; [Ragan Broyles/R6/USEPA/US@EPA](mailto:Ragan.Broyles/R6/USEPA/US@EPA); [James Staves/R6/USEPA/US@EPA](mailto:James.Staves/R6/USEPA/US@EPA); [Steve Mason/R6/USEPA/US@EPA](mailto:Steve.Mason/R6/USEPA/US@EPA)
Subject: FW: NTL No. 2012-N06
Date: 08/18/2012 01:01 PM

Dear Ragan Broyles, Jim Staves, Steve Mason EPA ect,

This is a link to a Senators request to continue the clean up of the louisiana beaches

<http://noladefender.com/content/mary-landrieu-tells-coast-guard-get-back-b7e99ach>

I have asked repeatedly for the US EPA RRT VI as well as the US EPA administrator to concur with the US Coast Guard, BP the responsible party for the Deep Horizon spill, Governor Jindal, Senator A G Crowe, La DEQ, Senator Gollot, Senator Hank Irwin, the city of Destin for the use of OSE II on the spill in the Gulf. While the Corexit dispersants sank the oil, they did not remove oil from the marine environment, and they are continuing to adversely effect the Gulf states shorelines, as well as the Gulf seabed. I am once again asking you to immediately concur with the use of OSE II so the natural resources, as well as human health can be protected from the toxic effects of the oil and the devastating toxic effects of both Corexit dispersants attached to the oil. You stated you cannot find a reason not to use OSE II, I have submitted a definition and mode of action to Michael Baccigalopi the RRT VI chair of your scientific committee, to correct false information and incomplete information on the three types of bioremediation, that verifies there is no reason not to use OSE II. The Gulf states, the people mof the gulf states and the US Natural resources needs for you to act responsibly fulfilling your required duties to protect the natural resources of the US as well as the health, safety and welfare of the people to concur immediately with the use of OSE II. I will await your response.

Steven Pedigo

Chairman/CEO OSEI Corporation

From: oseicorp@msn.com
To: michael.baccigalopi@glo.texas.gov; broyles.ragan@epa.gov; staves.james@epamail.epa.gov; mason.steve@epamail.epa.gov
Subject: FW: NTL No. 2012-N06
Date: Mon, 13 Aug 2012 12:53:47 -0500

Dear Michael,

Ragan Broyles EPA RRT VI sent OSE II to you and the scientific committee for review so OSE II can be pre approved. One of your scientific committee members (Stephen Spencer DOI), a trustee who's agency has successfully tested OSE II, proving it is far superior to dispersants and mechanical clean up is required to have spill countermeasure plans that protect the US natural resources, and meet the clean water act as part of the offshore rigs and drilling permits in the Gulf of Mexico. They will allow non toxic technology such as OSE II however they need the pre approval to complete this task. Dispersants do not meet the requirements of the clean water act and OSE II does, and yet you have pre approved a category and product (see footnotes of the pre approval process for dispersants) that does not met the clean water act. What ever you need to do Michael to answer the concerns or questions for RRT VI so they can help DOI meet their requirements, by pre approving OSE II needs to be performed immediately, so this notification can go out to all permit holders so they can make changes to their spill plans to meet the clean water act, protect natural resources in a safe non toxic manner that actually removes the spill from the environment. The time has come to move oil spill response forward, and protect the natural resources of the United states, which dispersants have proven through the Ixtoc, Valdez, and now the BP Deep horizon spill has proven dispersants fail to do. The EPA has stated they cannot find a reason as to why not use OSE II, and the fact OSE II is pre approved and used in numerous countries around the world verifies there is no reason not to use OSE II.

I will await your acknowledgement of pre approval for OSE II.

Sincerely,

Steven Pedigo

Date: Mon, 13 Aug 2012 11:34:33 -0400
Subject: Fwd: NTL No. 2012-N06
To: stevenosei@msn.com



9549889

From: James.Watson@bsee.gov
To: Dennismarketic@aol.com
Sent: 8/13/2012 10:15:00 A.M. Central Daylight Time
Subj: RE: NTL No. 2012-N06

Dennis,

Thank you for your interest in furthering new technology for oil spill response. One goal of this NTL is to ensure the regulated community knows that BSEE values the use of new technology in oil spill response planning. The section that you quoted was addressing mechanical recovery specifically. The next paragraph focuses on response techniques other than mechanical and states that, "BSEE will consider other methodologies and equipment that do not have an associated EDRC calculation", which would include your product. BSEE staff review submitted OSRPs to determine if the whole plan demonstrates the owner and operator can respond quickly and effectively to the maximum extent practicable. We noticed that OSE II is listed in the NCP Product Schedule and would consider it in our review of an OSRP if the owner or operator planned to use this product and they include a description of the RRT approval process if not preauthorized. We cannot however endorse any particular product nor prescribe tactics in more detail than our regulations establish. Please consider working through the Area Committees and Regional Response Teams to ensure the resource trustee's support for a product like yours and that it is documented in their respective plans.

Best Regards
Jim Watson, Director

From: Dennismarketic@aol.com [mailto:Dennismarketic@aol.com]
Sent: Sunday, August 12, 2012 8:29 PM
To: Watson, James A
Subject: NTL No. 2012-N06

**DIRECTOR, REAR ADMIRAL JAMES WATSON
BUREAU of SAFETY and ENVIRONMENTAL ENFORCEMENT
U S DEPARTMENT of INTERIOR; BSEE
1849 "C" STREET N.W.
WASHINGTON, DC 20240**

Director, Rear Admiral Watson,

I just finished going through all 41 pages of NTL No. 2012-N06. I was sure you would be moving forward on Oil Spill Response Plans. I was disappointed to see that you state in the 1st paragraph of your page 3, "BSEE encourages you to use new technology and response systems that will increase the effectiveness of mechanical recovery tactics.", with no like invitation to bioremediation. Your own DOI tests, [U S Department of Interior Study on the Characteristics, Behavior, & Response Effectiveness of Spilled Dielectric Insulating Oil in the Marine Environment], which we have furnished you and many people in your organization, showing OSE II performs far better than dispersants and mechanical removal. Three packages were delivered for

you and signed for at your office on the morning of 30 July 2012. if you need more, I will get them to you. As you are moving forward to improve the OSRP's, what better time to encourage looking into the most viable way and product to respond? And actually, isn't slighting or not encouraging real consideration of OSE II just short of the mission your organization is charged with? I again encourage you to have some of your folks get with Steven R. Pedigo 214-783-6992, personal cell, OSE II CEO, to have any questions they may have answered. I stand ready to assist in any way I can, I can be reached at 940-781-7777. We are the best product to address future, current and ongoing spills, we want to be a part of your new initiative in your NTL. Please let me know how we can help you get this implemented. Thanks and GOD bless, Dennis

DENNIS D. MARKETIC
RANCH MANAGEMENT INTERNATIONAL
HILL TOP RANCH / RMI
1866 BODDY ROAD
HENRIETTA, TEXAS 76365
940-781-7777